BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS, STATE OF COLORADO 1313 Sherman Street, Room 315 Denver, Colorado 80203 Petitioner: TOM ROTH & GINA ROTH v. Respondent: ELBERT COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION entered into a Stipulation, which has been accepted by the Board of Assessment Appeals. A copy of the Stipulation is attached and incorporated as a part of this decision.

ORDER ON STIPULATION

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS:

1. Subject property is described as follows:

County Schedule No.: R117045

Category: Valuation/Protest Appeal Property Type: Residential

- 2. Petitioner is protesting the 2019 actual value of the subject property.
- 3. The parties agreed that the 2019 actual value of the subject property should be reduced to:

Total Value: \$670,000

(Reference Attached Stipulation)

4. The Board concurs with the Stipulation.

ORDER:

Respondent is ordered to reduce the 2019 actual value of the subject property, as set forth above.

The Elbert County Assessor is directed to change his/her records accordingly.

DATED this 21st day of April 2020.

BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS

Diane M. DeVries

Diane M. DeVries

I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the decision of the Board of Assessment Appeals.

Gordanz Katardic

Debra A. Baumbach

Gordana Katardzic

BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS STATE OF COLORADO

Docket Number: 75529	9			
Single County Schedule	Number: R11	L7045		
STIPULATION (As to Ta	ax Year2	019	Actual Value)	120 HAR 18
Tom Roth and Gina	Roth			
Petitioner,				
vs.				<u> </u>
Elbert	COUNT	Y BOARD	OF EQUALIZATION,	
Respondent.			556	
Assessment Appeals to	enter its order Respondent ag subject to this s 01 Coal Cre	based on gree and s stipulation seek St,	stipulate as follows: is described as:	the Board of
				•
2. The subject p property).	roperty is class	sified as_	Residential	(what type of
3. The County A subject property for tax			ed the following actual v	value to the
~	Land Improveme Total	\$ ents \$ \$	145,000 .00 685,200 .00 830,200 .00	
4. After a timely valued the subject prop			Equalization, the Board	of Equalization
	Land Improvemer		145,000 .00 667,000 .00	

812.000.00

Total

	After further review Equalization agree to the follo property;	and negotiation owing tax year _	Petitioner(s) a	and County Board of actual value for the subject
	lm	nd \$_ provements \$_ tal \$_	145,000 525,000 670,000	00
	6. The valuation, as e year2019	stablished above	e, shall be bind	ing only with respect to tax
	7. Brief narrative as to Please see attached n Zachary Trester	why the reduction	ion was made: ovided by Cl	nief Appraiser,
	• 0			
	8. Both parties agree Appeals on March 26, hearing has not yet been sch	2020 (date) at	8:30 AM	ore the Board of Assessment (time) be vacated or a lessment Appeals.
	DATED thi	s <u>17</u> day of _	March	2020
John	Roth ORoth		Bart G	104x #41209
	Petitioner(s) or Agent or Attor	rney	County Attorn Board of Equ	ey for Respondent,
	Address: Tom Roth & Gina Roth 3001 Coal Creek St			r,County Atty #41209_che St. PO Box 7
	Parker. CO 80138	-	Kiowa, CO	
	Telephone: 303-693-9482		Telephone:	303-621-3143 May
			County Asses	isor
			Susan Mur	phy, Assessor che St, PO Box 26
			Kiowa. CO	80117
	Docket Number 75529		l elephone:	303-621-3101

Brief Narrative describing reason for reduction and vacating hearing for Docket 75529

There is a large range in sales prices of the 10 ranch style homes that sold within the 2019 study period in the Elkhorn subdivision. (616,000 to 800,000) It was also brought to the Assessors attention that there was some differed maintenance regarding the subject residence and detached garage. Comparables supplied by the petitioner had Time Adjusted Sale prices around 650,000 however the comparables were newer than the subject property and did not have similar 1,200sqft detached garages like the subject property. Comparables provided by the Assessor's office had Time Adjusted Sale prices around 830,000. These comparables did included homes like the subject property regarding year built, size, and large garage square footages however the comparables had finished basements unlike the subject property. Without physical inspections of the interior of the subject property and comparables, it is difficult to identify and quantify said variances, it is the recommendation to stipulate on the most appropriate amount of 670,000.