BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS, STATE OF COLORADO 1313 Sherman Street, Room 315 Denver, Colorado 80203 Petitioner: STANDARD & POOR'S COMPUSTAT, v. Respondent: ARAPAHOE COUNTY BOARD OF **EQUALIZATION.** Attorney or Party Without Attorney for the Petitioner: Docket Number: 42166 Jim Brown Name: George McElroy & Associates 3131 S. Vaughn Way, #301 Address: Aurora, CO 80014 Phone Number: 303.696.9666 ORDER ON STIPULATION

THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION entered into a Stipulation, which has been approved by the Board of Assessment Appeals. A copy of the Stipulation is attached and incorporated as a part of this decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS:

1. Subject property is described as follows:

County Schedule No.: 2075-27-3-03-031

Category: Valuation Property Type: Commercial

2. Petitioner is protesting the 2003 actual value of the subject property.

The parties agreed that the 2003 actual value of the subject property should be 3. reduced to:

Land:

\$4,965,256.00

Improvements:

\$3,534,744.00

Total:

\$8,500,000.00

4. The Board concurs with the Stipulation.

ORDER:

Respondent is ordered to reduce the 2003 actual value of the subject property, as set forth above.

The Arapahoe County Assessor is directed to change his/her records accordingly.

DATED/MAILED this 15th day of October, 2004.

This decision was put on the record

October 13, 2004

I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the decision of the Board of Assessment Appeals

BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS

Karen E. Hart

ven & Hart 10 10 a Baumbach

Debra A. Baumbach

BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS STATE OF COLORADO **DOCKET NUMBER 42166**

STIPULATION (As To Tax Year 2003 Actual Value)				
STANDARD & POO	R'S COMPUSTAT,			
Petitioner,				
vs.				
ARAPAHOE COUN	TY BOARD OF EQUAL	IZATION,		
Respondent.				
THE FARTIES TO I	nis action ellered lillo	a Stipulation, regarding the t	ax vear zous vai	
the subject property an stipulation. A conference agreement:	d jointly move the Board of ence call with the petition	of Assessment Appeals to enter oner and respondent have r	r its Order based of resulted in the f	on this following
the subject property an stipulation. A conference agreement:	d jointly move the Board of ence call with the petition	of Assessment Appeals to enter	r its Order based of resulted in the f	on this following
the subject property an stipulation. A conference agreement: Subject property is conference agreement and subject property is conference agreement.	d jointly move the Board of ence call with the petition lassified as offices descrit-031; RA 3920-021	of Assessment Appeals to enter oner and respondent have r	r its Order based of resulted in the factor of the factor	on this following
the subject property an stipulation. A conference agreement: Subject property is conference agreement and the subject property is conference agreement. A brief narrative as to	d jointly move the Board of ence call with the petition lassified as offices descrit-031; RA 3920-021 why the reduction was made	of Assessment Appeals to enter oner and respondent have r bed as follows: 7400 S. Alt	r its Order based of resulted in the factor of the factor	on this following OCT Schedule
the subject property an stipulation. A conference agreement: Subject property is conference agreement and the subject property is conference agreement. A brief narrative as to	d jointly move the Board of ence call with the petition lassified as offices descrit-031; RA 3920-021 why the reduction was made that the 2003 actual value	of Assessment Appeals to enter oner and respondent have r bed as follows: 7400 S. Alt de: Analyzed market informat	r its Order based of resulted in the factor of the factor	on this following OCT Schedule
the subject property an stipulation. A conference agreement: Subject property is conference agreement and the subject property is conference agreement. A brief narrative as to the parties have agree	d jointly move the Board of ence call with the petition lassified as offices descrit-031; RA 3920-021 why the reduction was made that the 2003 actual value	of Assessment Appeals to enteroner and respondent have rubed as follows: 7400 S. Alt de: Analyzed market informate of the subject property shoul	r its Order based of resulted in the factor of the factor	on this following OCT Schedule
the subject property an stipulation. A conference agreement: Subject property is conference agreement: Subject property is conference agreement: A brief narrative as to the parties have agree ORIGINAL VA Land Improvements	lassified as offices descri-031; RA 3920-021 why the reduction was made that the 2003 actual value LUE \$ 4,965,256 \$ 6,034,744	of Assessment Appeals to enteroner and respondent have respond	r its Order based of resulted in the factor of the factor	on this following OCT Schedule
the subject property an stipulation. A conference agreement: Subject property is conference agreement: Subject property is conference agreement: A brief narrative as to the parties have agree ORIGINAL VA Land	d jointly move the Board of ence call with the petition lassified as offices descrit-031; RA 3920-021 why the reduction was made that the 2003 actual value \$4,965,256	of Assessment Appeals to enteroner and respondent have respond	r its Order based of resulted in the factor of the factor	on this following OCT Schedule

The valuation, as established above, shall be binding only with respect to the tax year 2003.

Both parties agree that the hearing before the Board of Assessment Appeals be vacated or is unnecessary if one has not yet been scheduled.

DATED this day of Sonten 2004.

Jim Brown George McElroy & Assoc.

3131 S. Vaughn Wy, Ste. 301

Aurora, CO 80014

Kathryn L. Schroeder, #11042 Attorney for Respondent

Arapahoe County Bd. of Equalization 5334 South Prince Street Littleton, CO 80166

(303) 795-4639

Edward G. Bosier Arapahoe County Assessor 5334 South Prince Street Littleton, CO 80166

(303) 795-4600